

ಶ್ರೀ ವೀರೇಂದ್ರ ಪಾಟೀಲ್.—ನಾನಾಗಲೇ ಹೇಳಿದಂತೆ ಇದು ನಮ್ಮ ಇಲಾಖೆಗೆ ಸೇರಿದ ಕೆಲಸವಲ್ಲ. ಇದಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಅಡ್ಡಿನಿಶ್ಚೇಷ್ಠೆಗಳನ್ನು ಮಾಡಲು ಬಡ್ತಿ ನೀಡುವುದು ಎಷ್ಟು ಇಷ್ಟಾದ್ದಾರೋ ಅದನ್ನು ನೋಡಿಕೊಂಡು ನಮಗೆ ಅಡ್ಡಿನಿಶ್ಚೇಷ್ಠೆಗಳ ಸ್ಯಾಂಕ್ಷನ್ನು ಕೊಟ್ಟುಮೇಲೆ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡುವುದು ನಮ್ಮ ಮೇಲಿನ ಜವಾಬ್ದಾರಿಯಾಗುತ್ತದೆ.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—Is it the intention, under the emergency, to spend such a big amount on these buildings ?

Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.—There is no question of spending this money because no administrative approval has been given to this work.

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಕೆ. ಕಂಬಳಿ.—ಹಿಂದೆ ಇದ್ದ ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮೀಶ್ವರದ ಮಹಾರಾಜರು ಈ ಕಟ್ಟಡ ಮಾಡಲು ಹಣವನ್ನು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದು ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿದೆಯೇ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ವೀರೇಂದ್ರ ಪಾಟೀಲ್.—ಹಿಂದಿನ ಮಹಾರಾಜರು ಏನು ಮಾಡಿದ್ದಾರೋ ನನಗೇನೂ ತಿಳಿಯದು.

ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ. ಕೆ. ಕಂಬಳಿ.—ಈಗ ಇದಕ್ಕೆ ಆಗಿರುವ ಅಂದಾಜು ಎಷ್ಟು ?

ಶ್ರೀ ವೀರೇಂದ್ರ ಪಾಟೀಲ್.—ನುಮಾರು ಒಟ್ಟು 1,73,250 ರೂ. ಗಳಾಗುತ್ತದೆ.

### Cost of the Kabini Project

\*Q.—392. Sri D. M. SIDDIAH (Biligere).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

- (a) The estimated cost of the Kabini project on the River Kabini ;
- (b) the work so far done and the amount spent in this behalf ;
- (c) whether they are aware that if the height of the project is raised by 14 feet, it helps to increase the number of acres of land in an area which do not come under irrigation at present ;
- (d) the intention of the Government regarding the raising of the height of the project as per the technical opinion of the Department ?

A.—Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA (Chief Minister).—

(a) Rs. 320.00 lakhs as per sanctioned estimate.

(b) (i) Foundation excavation concreting of foundations and masonry in certain portions of the Central Spillway and a small quantity of earthen embankment on the flanks have been done so far.

(ii) Rs. 79.00 lakhs had been spent upto end of January 1963.

(c) Yes.

(d) To increase the scope of the project.

Sri D. M. SIDDIAH.—In answer to (a), you were pleased to say : Rs. 320.00 lakhs as per sanctioned estimate. May I know the revised estimate of the project ?

† Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—The revised estimate goes to about Rs. 10 Crores.

Sri D. M. SIDDIAH.—What is the extent of land that comes under irrigation ?

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—Extra area will be about 20,000 acres.

**Sri D. M. SIDDIAH.**—Supplementary to (c) : “whether they are aware that if the height of the project is raised by 14 feet, it helps to increase the number of acres of land in an area which do not come under irrigation at present”, you were pleased to say ‘Yes’. What is the extent of the length of the dam at present and when it is increased by 14 feet, what will be the total height ?

**Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.**—Of course, 14 feet of head will be increased, and I have said that 20,000 acres will be brought under irrigation and the total estimate will be about Rs. 10 Crores, and 19.2 Tmcft. of water will be impounded.

**Sri D. M. SIDDIAH.**—May I know when the plan will be finished ?

**Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.**—We want to finish it as early as possible.

**Sri G. V. GOWDA.**—By what time it was originally contemplated to be completed and by increasing the height what would be the further time required ?

**Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.**—The work was started in 1959 and it is going on. As the momentum has been increased, it may be kept up. Possibly, in view of the increase in height, it will be about two years.

**Sri GANJI VEERAPPA.**—May I know what were the compelling reasons for the revised estimate to go so high ?

**Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.**—That is because, the canals also cost a good deal of work, increase in height means more cost and the rates have also increased.

**Sri M. C. BASAPPA.**—Is it the idea of excavating more Canals ?

**Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.**—That is the idea.

**Sri G. V. GOWDA.**—By increasing the height, may I know the additional area that is likely to be submerged and the number of villages to be affected ?

**Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.**—They won't be affected.

**Sri D. M. SIDDIAH.**—Is it a multi-purpose project ?

**Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.**—No, Sir.

**ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿ. ಜೆ. ಮುಕ್ಕಣ್ಣಪ್ಪ.**—ಈಗಿರುವ ಹೈಟಿನ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ನಮಗೆ ಎಷ್ಟು ಇರಿಗೇಷನ್ ಆಗುತ್ತಿತ್ತು. ಮುಂದೆ ಹೆಚ್ಚು ಮಾಡಿದಾಗ ಎಷ್ಟು ಒಟ್ಟು ಅಚ್ಚು ಕಟ್ಟು ಬರುತ್ತದೆ ?

**ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ನಿಜಲಿಂಗಪ್ಪ.**—ಒಟ್ಟು ಇದರ ಅಚ್ಚು ಕಟ್ಟು 50,000 ಎಕರೆಗಳು. ಹಿಂದೆ 30,000 ಎಕರೆ ಮಾತ್ರ ಸಾಗುತ್ತಿತ್ತು. ಈಗ 20,000 ಎಕರೆ ಹೆಚ್ಚಿಗೆ ಸಾಗುವಳಿಗೆ ಬರುತ್ತದೆ.

**ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಎಂ. ಗೌಡ.**—ಮೈಸೂರು ನಂಸ್ತಾನದಲ್ಲಿ ಯಾವ ಒಂದು ಅಂದಾಜಾದರೂ ಕೂಡ ಪೂರ್ವಮರ್ಶೆ ಇಲ್ಲದೆ ಮಂಜೂರಾಗುವುದೇ ಇಲ್ಲ. ಇಂತಹ ಸಂದರ್ಭಗಳನ್ನು ಮುಂದಾದರೂ ನಿಲ್ಲಿಸಲು ಯೋಚನೆ ಮಾಡುತ್ತೀರಾ ?

**ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ನಿಜಲಿಂಗಪ್ಪ.**—ಅಂತಹ ಪ್ರಸಂಗ ಬಂದೇ ಬರುತ್ತಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಮುಂದೆ ಪರ್ಯಾಯೋಚನೆ ಮಾಡುತ್ತೇವೆ.

**ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ವಿ. ಗೌಡ.**—ಹಿಂದೆ ಮೂವತ್ತು ಸಾವಿರ ಎಕರೆಗಳ ಅಂದಾಜಿಗೆ 320 ಲಕ್ಷ ಅಂದಾಜಿದ್ದದ್ದು ಈಗ ಇಪ್ಪತ್ತು ಸಾವಿರ ಎಕರೆಗಳಷ್ಟು ಹೆಚ್ಚಾಗುವ ಅಂದಾಜಿಗೆ ಆರು ಕೋಟಿ ಯಷ್ಟು ಏಕೆ ಖರ್ಚಾಗುತ್ತದೆ ?

**ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ನಿಜಲಿಂಗಪ್ಪ.**—ಇದು ಹಿಂದೆ ಇದ್ದ ಲೆಕ್ಕಾಚಾರದಂತೆ ಆಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಈಗ ರೇಖೆಗಳು ನಾಲ್ಕು ಏರ್ಪಡಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಹೆಚ್ಚಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಅಲ್ಲದೆ ಇಲ್ಲ Deep Cutting ಎಂಬುದು ಬೇರೆ ಬರುತ್ತದೆ. ಇದನ್ನೆಲ್ಲಾ ಇಷ್ಟೇ ಎಂದು ಈಗಲೇ ಲೆಕ್ಕಹಾಕಿ ಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ.

**Sri ANNA RAO GANAMUKHI.**—Is it not a fact whether the Madras Government took objection to the width designed by the Mysore Government and therefore the width had to be limited?

**Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.**—I am not aware.

**ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಶಿವಪ್ಪ.**—ತಾವು, ನಮ್ಮ ಇ ಜಿಲ್ಲೆಯವರುಗಳು ತಯಾರಿಸಿದ ಅಂದಾಜುಗಳನ್ನು ಯಾವ ಒಂದು ಪ್ರಾಜೆಕ್ಟ್‌ನ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಆಗಲೇ ರಿವೈಜನ್ ಮಾಡದೇ ಇರುವುದು ಒಂದಾದರೂ ಇದೆಯೇ?

**ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ನಿಜಲಿಂಗಪ್ಪ.**—ಬಹಳ ಇವೆ.

**Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.**—May I know the length of channel which will bring about 50,000 acres of land under irrigation?

**Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.**—I want notice, Sir.

**ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಶಿವಪ್ಪ.**—ಈ ಪ್ರಾಜೆಕ್ಟ್‌ನ ಅಂದಾಜು ವೆಚ್ಚ ಎಕರೆವಾರು ಹೆಚ್ಚಿದರೆ ಎಕರೆ ಒಂದಕ್ಕೆ ಎಷ್ಟು ಖರ್ಚಾಗುತ್ತದೆ?

**ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ನಿಜಲಿಂಗಪ್ಪ.**—ಸುಮಾರು ಎಕರೆಗೆ 3,000 ರೂ.ಗಳು ಬೀಳಬಹುದು.

### **Special category of Hindi teachers for teaching Hindi from V to VII Standards in certain Primary Schools of the Mysore State.**

**\*Q.—428. Sri B. P. KADAM (Karwar).—**

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(a) whether it is a fact that there is a special category of Hindi Teachers for teaching Hindi from Standards V to VII in certain Primary Schools in each Taluk in the State and particularly in Ex-Bombay Karnatak area;

(b) whether it is a fact that these teachers are trained in Hindi after passing S.S.C. or Vernacular Final examination;

(c) whether it is not a fact that these are full-time teachers;

(d) whether it is a fact that these teachers are only paid the salaries that they were getting in 1957 with no increments and that they are not given any benefits of the rise in scales since 1957;

(e) whether it is a fact that recently a few more S.S.C. teachers with Hindi Praveshika or Rashtrabhasha qualification are appointed as regular teachers by School Boards and are entitled to the new grades, increments, etc.;

(f) if so, why is this discrimination against the teachers who have passed Visharad and are trained in Hindi teaching?

**A.—Sri S. R. KANTHI (Minister for Education).—**

(a) Yes. Consequent on the introduction of Hindi as a compulsory subject for classes VI and VII, Hindi Teachers have been provided in all the Districts.

(b) No.

(c) They are full-time teachers; part-time teachers are also working wherever there is provision.

(d) No.

(e) Yes.

(f) Does not arise in view of the reply to clause (d).